

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 JUAN JOSE AMESQUITA,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 ROD HICKMAN,

15 Respondent.  
16

Case No. 1:05-cv-00055-LJO-JDP (HC)

ORDER DENYING FRIVOLOUS MOTION  
FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT

ECF No. 60

17 Petitioner Juan Jose Amesquita, a state prisoner without counsel, filed a petition for a writ  
18 of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 in January 2005—more than fourteen years ago.  
19 ECF No. 1. Judge Oliver W. Wanger decided this case back in October 2006, but petitioner has  
20 been filing frivolous motions since that time, attempting to relitigate the merits of his case. This  
21 case is so old that several judges—including those who have retired—have denied various forms  
22 of motions for reconsideration filed by petitioner. *See* ECF Nos. 30, 43, 45, 47, 49, 53, 56. Most  
23 recently, the Ninth Circuit summarily denied a motion for reconsideration by petitioner and  
24 stated, “No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.” ECF No. 61. *Amesquita v.*  
25 *Hickman*, No. 18-15308, ECF No. 18 (9th Cir. Oct. 9, 2018).

26 Before this court is yet another motion from petitioner that purports to relitigate this case.  
27 ECF No. 60. Petitioner says he is entitled to relief from judgment under Federal Rule of Civil  
28 Procedure 60 because this court and judges within this district have committed judicial

1 misconduct. *See id.* at 11-20. Petitioner's motion is patently frivolous, and we will summarily  
2 deny it. *See* Fed. R. Civ. 11(b).

3 **Order**

4 Petitioner's motion for relief from judgment, ECF No. 60, is denied.

5  
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: July 2, 2019

8   
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9  
10  
11 No. 202  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28